Multimodal Data Fusion in 3D Printing Quality Prediction

Alex Xiaotong Gui Xinru Liu

Mentor: Dr. Weihong Grace Guo DIMACS

July 13, 2018

Alex Xiaotong Gui Xinru Liu (DIMACS) Data Fusion in 3D Printing Quality Prediction

July 13, 2018 1 / 29

• Quality characterization is traditionally done by manual inspection.

- Quality characterization is traditionally done by manual inspection.
- Can we use data from multiple sources to characterize quality more efficiently and effectively?

- Quality characterization is traditionally done by manual inspection.
- Can we use data from multiple sources to characterize quality more efficiently and effectively?
- What determines the quality of the printed parts?

- Quality characterization is traditionally done by manual inspection.
- Can we use data from multiple sources to characterize quality more efficiently and effectively?
- What determines the quality of the printed parts?



Figure: Printed dome objects

- Develop methods to extract patterns from measurement data to characterize printed parts quality
- Build a predictive model for part quality given features and process parameters

Research Roadmap



▶ ৰ ≣ ▶ ≣ ∽ ৭.ে July 13, 2018 4 / 29

< 🗇 🕨

- Dome shapes only
- Two data sources

- Dome shapes only
- Two data sources
 - Printing parameters
 - speed: 20/40/60(mm/s)
 - fill: 20/40/60/100(%)
 - thickness: 0.1/0.2(mm)

- Dome shapes only
- Two data sources
 - Printing parameters
 - speed: 20/40/60(mm/s)
 - fill: 20/40/60/100(%)
 - thickness: 0.1/0.2(mm)

Our Data

- From the image measurements
 - point height matrix
 - profiles
 - surface roughness



Image data

- 1520 × 1628 height data points
- 20 observations

Image data

- 1520 × 1628 height data points
- 20 observations

Dimensionality Reduction

- Principal Component Analysis (PCA)
- Uncorrelated Multi-linear Principal Component Analysis (MPCA)

Principal Component Analysis Explanation

Model Intuition

- $\bullet\,$ High dimensional data \to a new set of uncorrelated features (principal components)
- The first principal component direction of the data is that along which the observations vary the most.
- The principal components are ordered by decreasing variance



Figure: An example from Introduction to Statistical Learning

PCA Theory Illustration

Vectorizing matrix as vector with length $1520 \times 1628 = 2474560$



Figure: PCA Graphical Illustration. By Digital image. Http://people.ciirc.cvut.cz/ hlavac/TeachPresEn/11ImageProc/15PCA.pdf. N.p., n.d. Web.

July 13, 2018 9 / 29

Reconstruction

	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4	
Proportion of variance	0.44	0.306	0.08	0.059	



Limitation of PCA

- Requires the reshaping of tensors into high dimensional vectors
- fails to take into account the spatial correlation of height data within a localized neighborhood.

Limitation of PCA

- Requires the reshaping of tensors into high dimensional vectors
- fails to take into account the spatial correlation of height data within a localized neighborhood.

MPCA

- Can operate on tensors directly
- Generates uncorrelated features in a similar fashion to PCA

Limitation of PCA

- Requires the reshaping of tensors into high dimensional vectors
- fails to take into account the spatial correlation of height data within a localized neighborhood.

MPCA

- Can operate on tensors directly
- Generates uncorrelated features in a similar fashion to PCA

MPCA Reconstruction



Figure: From top left to down right: illustration of the first four MPCs

Part I: Geometric Shape Deviation

- We took six profile measurements of the 20 samples
- Designated fill 20, speed 20, height 0.1mm dome as the reference (standard sample)
- The goal is to quantify the geometric deviation of each sample from the reference

Challenge

The real data is imperfect

- The curves are not aligned
- Requires a consistent interpolation
- Manual adjustment is inefficient



Figure: The reference sample and 20_40_0.1 before alignment

• Widely used in speech recognition, computer vision and time series

- Widely used in speech recognition, computer vision and time series
- The goal is to find a matching between between two curves of length *m* and *n* such that the total distance between the matched points is minimized

- Widely used in speech recognition, computer vision and time series
- The goal is to find a matching between between two curves of length *m* and *n* such that the total distance between the matched points is minimized
- Solution uses dynamic programming-run time is O(mn)

- Widely used in speech recognition, computer vision and time series
- The goal is to find a matching between between two curves of length *m* and *n* such that the total distance between the matched points is minimized
- Solution uses dynamic programming-run time is O(mn)



Figure: An example of dynamic programming solution from Keogh, 2001

Matching



Figure: Using dtw to align our data

Alex Xiaotong Gui Xinru Liu (DIMACS) Data Fusion in 3D Printing Quality Prediction

July 13, 2018 16 / 29

э

Step 1: Use Locally Weighted Scatterplot Smoothing (LOESS) Regression to fit the curve of reference profile

Step 1: Use Locally Weighted Scatterplot Smoothing (LOESS) Regression to fit the curve of reference profile **Step 2:** Predict the height coordinates (Z) of the other samples using the reference model fit. Let the prediction be \hat{Z} . **Step 1:** Use Locally Weighted Scatterplot Smoothing (LOESS) Regression to fit the curve of reference profile **Step 2:** Predict the height coordinates (Z) of the other samples using the reference model fit. Let the prediction be \hat{Z} . We define profile residual as

$$e = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} (\hat{Z} - Z)^2}{N}$$

where N is the length of the profile vector

Developed Interfacial Area Ratio

This parameter, Sdr, is expressed as the percentage of the definition area's additional surface area contributed by the texture as compared to the planar definition area.



Figure: Roughness Illustration

Label the samples

Objective: use profile residual and roughness data to cluster our samples into two classes, "good quality" and "bad quality".

Label the samples

Objective: use profile residual and roughness data to cluster our samples into two classes, "good quality" and "bad quality".

k-means clustering

Partition n observations into k clusters in which each observation belongs to the cluster with the nearest mean.

$$W(C_k) = \sum_{x_i \in C_k} (x_i - \mu)^2$$

where x_i is a data point belonging to the cluster C_k , μ is the mean value of the points assigned to the cluster C_k

Label the samples

Objective: use profile residual and roughness data to cluster our samples into two classes, "good quality" and "bad quality".

k-means clustering

Partition n observations into k clusters in which each observation belongs to the cluster with the nearest mean.

$$W(C_k) = \sum_{x_i \in C_k} (x_i - \mu)^2$$

where x_i is a data point belonging to the cluster C_k , μ is the mean value of the points assigned to the cluster C_k



Clustering between roughness and profile residual



Modeling - Classification with PCA

Decision Tree

- Split data into train (n=14) and test (n=6)
- Run a decision tree algorithm on train set
- Test accuracy: 66.7%

Important Variables: PC3, PC4



Figure: Decision tree with PCA components

Modeling - Classification with MPCA

Test accuracy: 83.3% Important Variables: MPC2, MPC3, MPC4



Figure: Decision tree with MPCA components

Modeling - Multi Linear Regression (Profile residual)

Response variable: profile residual Predictors: (M)PC1, (M)PC2, (M)PC3, (M)PC4, fill, speed, thickness

Table: Profile residual(PCA)

	PC2	PC3	fill	speed	thickness
coefficient	-2.156e-07	-2.557e-07	4.326e-02	-2.633e-02	1.936e+01
P-value	0.08765	0.22012	0.00085	0.08818	0.00243

Adjusted R-squared: 0.8332

Table: Profile residual(UMPCA)

	MPC1	$MPC1^2$	MPC2	fill	speed	thickness
coefficient	-4.071e-01	-1.298e+00	-3.235e-07	3.947e-02	-2.550e-02	2.111e+01
P-value	0.63626	0.13063	0.09074	0.00205	0.12527	0.00152

```
Adjusted R-squared: 0.8747
```

Modeling: Multi Linear Regression (Roughness)

Response variable: Roughness

Table: Roughness (PCA)

	PC3	PC4	fill	speed	thickness	fill*thickness
coefficient	2.976e-08	-7.399e-08	5.516e-03	-2.298e-03	2.203e+00	-4.163e-02
P-value	0.2151	0.0650	0.0530	0.1498	0.0371	0.0202

Adjusted R-squared: 0.4587

Table: Roughness (UMPCA)

	MPC3	MPC4	fill	speed	thickness	fill*thickness
coefficient	1.544e-07	3.122e-07	5.291e-03	-2.048e-03	2.332e+00	-3.893e-02
P-value	0.0391	0.1385	0.0536	0.1498	0.0210	0.0187

Adjusted R-squared: 0.5402

• (M)PC2 is relatively more significant in the model predicting the profile residuals.

- (M)PC2 is relatively more significant in the model predicting the profile residuals.
- (M)PC3 and (M)PC4 are relatively more significant in the model predicting the surface roughness.

- (M)PC2 is relatively more significant in the model predicting the profile residuals.
- (M)PC3 and (M)PC4 are relatively more significant in the model predicting the surface roughness.
- Fill and layer thickness are significant to predict the quality.

- (M)PC2 is relatively more significant in the model predicting the profile residuals.
- (M)PC3 and (M)PC4 are relatively more significant in the model predicting the surface roughness.
- Fill and layer thickness are significant to predict the quality.
- The effect of fill on quality is different for different values of layer thickness.

- (M)PC2 is relatively more significant in the model predicting the profile residuals.
- (M)PC3 and (M)PC4 are relatively more significant in the model predicting the surface roughness.
- Fill and layer thickness are significant to predict the quality.
- The effect of fill on quality is different for different values of layer thickness.

- Using principal components instead of geometric measurements can reduce human error.
- More efficient to evaluate the quality of the 3D printing object.

- Imputation
- More precise curve matching
- Better tuned models
- More samples \rightarrow more power!

Keogh, Eamonn J and Pazzani, Michael J (2001) Derivative Dynamic Time Warping 2001 SIAM International Conference on Data Mining

James, Gareth and Witten, Daniela and Hastie, Trevor and Tibshirani, Robert (2014)

An Introduction to Statistical Learning: With Applications in R

KH. Lu and K. N. Plataniotis and A. N. Venetsanopoulos (2009)

Uncorrelated Multilinear Principal Component Analysis for Unsupervised Multilinear Subspace Learning

IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks vol.20, no.11, 2009, pp. 1820-1836

Acknowledgement

Many thanks to DIMACS providing this valuable experience, Dr.Guo's mentoring and everything that Lazaros, Parker and other DIMACS faculties have done for us.

Shout out to the amazing people in the REU who made this summer fun and intellectually rewarding!

Finally many thanks to Wheaton College and Pomona College for providing fundings.



Figure: DIMACS in 3D Printed Parts