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## 1. Introduction

Consider $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots, e_{n}\right\}$, a fixed ordered basis of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Recall that the standard flag of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is defined by $E_{1} \subset E_{2} \subset \cdots \subset E_{n}$, where $E_{k}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots, e_{k}\right\}$. We now introduce partial flag varieties. Let $m=\left(m_{1}, m_{2} \cdots, m_{k}\right)$, where $0<m_{1} \leq m_{2} \leq \cdots \leq m_{k}<n$. Let $X=F l(m, n)$, a partial flag variety, then $X=\left\{\left(V_{m_{1}} \subset V_{m_{2}} \subset \cdots \subset V_{m_{k}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \mid \operatorname{dim}\left(V_{m_{i}}\right)=m_{i}\right\}$. Let $E_{m}=\left(E_{m_{1}} \subset E_{m_{2}} \subset \cdots \subset E_{m_{k}}\right) \in X$ and let $P$ denote the stabilizer of $E_{m}$, i.e. $\left\{g \in G L(n) \mid g . E_{m}=E_{m}\right\}$. Let $m_{0}=0$ and $m_{k+1}=n$, then $P$ is the group of invertible block upper triangular matrices, where the dimension of the $i^{\text {th }}$ block is $m_{i+1}-m_{i}$. The following are other key subgroups of $G L(n)$ that we will consider: $T$ is the torus and the set of invertible diagonal matrices, $B$ is the Borel subgroup and is the set of invertible upper triangular matrices. We have the following relation among these subgroups: $T \subseteq B \subseteq P \subseteq G L(n)$. We will also only consider Weyl groups of type $A$ so $W=S_{n}$.

## 2. Exercises

Exercise 1. We shall show that $X^{T}=\left\{w \cdot E_{m} \mid w \in S_{n}\right\}$.
It is easy to see that $w \cdot E_{m} \in X^{T}$. Now to show the other direction, consider $t \in T$ and write $t$ as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\lambda_{1} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & \lambda_{2} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \lambda_{3} & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & \lambda_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Let $M \in X$ and write $M$ as $\left[\begin{array}{llll}v_{1} & v_{2} & \ldots & v_{n}\end{array}\right]$ where $v_{i}$ is a $n \times 1$ vector. Then in order for $M$ to be in $X$, we must have that the span of the first $m_{1}$ vectors after multiplying by scalars from $t$ must be the same as $E_{m_{1}}$ so it follows that there can only be $m_{1}$ nonzero rows among these first $m_{1}$ vectors because we allow $t$ to vary. Thus using column operations, we can get that these $m_{1}$ vectors only have $m_{1}$ nonzero entries combined and each is in a different row. We continue this process for $E_{m_{2}}$ all the way to $E_{m_{k}}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ from which we can see that $M$ has the form $w . E_{m}$ for some $w \in S_{n}$.

Normalizer. Define $N_{G}(T)=\left\{g \in G L(n) \mid g T g^{-1}=T\right\}$. One can show that $N_{G}(T)=\{$ all permutations matrices with arbitrary nonzero numbers in the 1's places $\}=S_{n} T$. We now show some relations between $N_{G}(T)$ and $W$.

[^0]Exercise 2. $W=S_{n}=N_{G}(T) / T$ and $W_{P}=S_{n} \cap P=N_{P}(T) / T$ and furthermore $W_{P} \leqslant W$.
First proof that $N_{G}(T)=S_{n} T$. Let $M \in N_{G}(T)$ and let $T^{\prime}$ be a diagonal matrix such that $T_{i i}=i$, then $M T^{\prime} M^{-1}=T^{*}$ is also a diagonal matrix. Since conjugation preserves spectrum, it must be that $T_{i i}^{*}=\sigma(i)$ for some permutation $\sigma$. Since $M T^{\prime}=T^{*} M, S_{i j} j=\sigma(i) S_{i j}, S_{i j}(j-\sigma(i))=0$. So $S_{i} j=0$ for all $\sigma(i) \neq j$. Each row has only one non-zero entry. So M must be of the form $S_{n} T$.

Now since $N_{G}(T)=S_{n} T$, the homomorphism $\phi N_{G}(T) \rightarrow W$ where $\phi(M)=w$ with $M=w T^{\prime}$ for some permutation $w$ and diagonal matrix $T^{\prime} . w$ is the identity permutation if and only if $M \in T$. So the kernel of $\phi$ is T. Therefore $N_{G}(T) / T \cong W$. Therefore we have our desired isomorphism and a similar argument works for $W_{P}$.

Note that $W_{P}$ is made up of the permutations in $S_{n}$ that fit the shape of $P$. It is clear from the definition of $P$ that the identity element is in $W_{P}$. If you consider the transpositions that generate all the possible permutations in one specific block of $P$, then it is easy to see that $W_{P} \leqslant W$ since these transpositions generate $W_{P}$.

Exercise 3. We will show that for $w \in W$ there exists a unique permutation $u \in w W_{P}$ of minimal length where length of $u=l(u)=\#\{(i<j) \mid u(i)>u(j)\}$.

We provide the following algorithm for constructing $u$. Start with $w$ and multiply $w$ by $s \in W_{P}$ only if $l(w s)<l(w)$. Do this for all elements $s \in W_{P}$ so that at the end, we have $u=w s_{a_{1}} s_{a_{2}} \cdots s_{a_{k}}$. The claim is that this $u$ is of minimal length. For sake of contradiction, suppose we have $v \in W_{P}$ such that $l(v)<l(u)$. Then this means that there exists $s \in W_{P}$ such that $v s=u$ so $v=u s^{-1}$. Therefore $l\left(u s^{-1}\right)<l(u)$, however this is not possible by construction of $u . u$ is also unique. Suppose $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ both have minimal length. Then $u_{1}=u_{2} w_{2}$ and $u_{2}=u_{1} w_{1}$ for $w_{1}, w_{2} \in W_{P}$. Hence $l\left(u_{1}\right) \leq l\left(u_{1} w_{1}\right)=l\left(u_{2}\right)$ and $l\left(u_{2}\right) \leq l\left(u_{2} w_{2}\right)=l\left(u_{1}\right)$, by definition of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ being elements of minimal length in $w W_{P}$. We also have $l\left(u_{1}\right)=l\left(u_{2}\right)$ so it follows that $w_{1}=w_{2}=e$ and therefore, $u_{1}=u_{2}$.

Grassmannian. Let $X=G r(m, n)$. We will view this Grassmannian as a partial flag variety. $P$ consists of invertible block upper triangular matrices with two blocks. The block in the top left has size $m$ and the block in the bottom right has size $n-m$. We now describe $W_{P}$ in terms of its generators. The generators are $\{(1 i) \mid 2 \leq i \leq m\} \cup\{((m+1) j) \mid m+2 \leq j \leq n\}$. Let $W^{P} \subseteq W$ be the set of all such $u$ described in exercise 3. In $X, W^{P}$ is the set of all permutations that send $(12 \ldots m)$ to $m$ numbers that are ordered from lowest to highest so there are $\binom{n}{m}$ elements in $W^{P}$. Therefore we can discuss a bijection between young diagrams and $W^{P}$. Note that we already have a bijection between young diagrams and $X^{T}$ since $X^{T}$ corresponds to Schubert symbols, which are used to construct a young diagram.

Exercise 4. We shall find a bijection between $X^{T}$ and $W^{P}$ and between young diagrams and $X^{T}$.
We will show a bijection between $W / W_{P}$ and $X^{T}$ since $W / W_{P}$ is essentially equivalent to $W^{P}$. Let $w \cdot E_{m} \in X^{T}$ be a arbitrary element. We prove that $\phi\left(w \cdot E_{m}\right)=w W_{p}$ is a bijection. By exercise three, we know there exists a unique minimal length $u$ for $w W_{p}$. since $u W_{p}=w W_{p}, w=u v$ for some $v \in W_{p}$. Now by definition of stabilizer $v . E m=E m$ for all $v \in W_{p}$. So $\phi\left(w \cdot E_{m}\right)=\phi\left(u v \cdot E_{m}\right)=\phi\left(u \cdot E_{m}\right)=u W_{p}$. Since such a $u$ exist and is unique. $\phi$ is a bijection. A bijection with young diagrams follows through bijection between young diagrams and $X^{T}$.

Note that by this bijection, $l(u)=|\lambda|$. Cool! In fact, we can say more about the connection between $u$ and $\lambda$. For $1 \leq i \leq m$, the number of boxes in row $i$ corresponds with the number of inversions with $i$, i.e. the number of boxes $=\#\{j \mid j>i$ and $u(i)>u(j)\}$. Therefore, $u$ will completely determine $\lambda$.
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