Unique Rectification Targets in d-Complete Partial Orders

Rahul Ilango Mik Zlatin

Work supported by the Rutgers Math Department

Background

Motivation

- K Theory and geometric spaces
 - associates a number system (ring) with a geometric space
 - Ring illuminates properties of the geometric space
- Our project helps determine multiplication in these number systems

Partially Ordered Sets (Posets / shapes)

- Assigns hierarchy to elements in sets
- Ancestors of node are "less than" it



Skew shapes

• Remove downwardly closed subset



Rectification

- Process to turn a skew shape into a poset of straight shape (an order ideal)
- Algorithm is called Jeu De Taquin tile game
 - Dot an empty node which is an "inner corner"
 - Inner corner means all of a node's children are filled
 - Swap dot with smallest child (if tie, swap both)
 - Delete dot node when leaf
 - Repeat until no more empty nodes



• Begin with skewed graph



- Fill external empty node with Dot
 - External = Node with children all filled
 - We have choice here



• Swap dot with smallest child



• Delete dot with no children



• Add dot to inner corner



• Swap dot with smallest child (if tie, swap both)



• Delete dots with no children





• Add dot to inner corner



• Swap dot with smallest child



• Delete dot with no children





• Done!



• Did our choices matter?





Order Matters?



Objective

Definition

A <u>Unique Rectification Target (URT)</u> is a labeling T of an order ideal such that if some labeled skew poset rectifies to T, it will always rectify to T no matter the choices you make during Jeu de Taquin.

Research Goal

Investigate the existence of URTs on a specific family of posets (d-complete Posets)

d-Complete posets

- Dictated by local constraints
- Composed of slant sum of "irreducible" posets





Slant sum

• Can attach d-Complete posets to each other at "acyclic" nodes



Why d-complete?

- Lambda-minuscule varieties
- Cohomology / standard labeling
- Seem to hold property empirically





Ideas

- Look at URTs in each irreducible family
- See what we can say about how the slant sum operation preserves rectifications
- Computer

Results

Trees

- In some sense, the "simplest" d-complete posets
- We prove that everything is a unique rectification target in trees



Computer Program

- Wrote computer program to find URTs in given posets
- Faster version checks for just minimal URTs

Edit So	urce Refactor Navigate Search Project Bun Window Help
	x \$ + 0 + \$ + # 6 + \$ ∈ \$ + 19 3 x 31 115 + \$ + 0 + + +
Shape.	java 2 Driver.java 2 URISTuple.java 2 Graph.java 2 2 LabeledSkewGraph.java 2 Ideal.java
1ª ing	port java.util.ArrayList;[]
4	
5 put	àlic class Graph {
7	(Note: The range alament in the adjacency list WHST he the minimal enalty)
8	Integer [1] adjacencyList:
9	ArrayList(ArrayList(Integer>> parentList; //list of all the parents of a node
10	
11	Graph (Integer]]] adjacencyList){
13	seParentist():
1.4)
15	
16	//populates parentlist with the corresponding parents of each node
18	void setrarentList(){ //create menty Areaulist of modes
19	parentList = new ArrayList <arraylist<integer>>();</arraylist<integer>
20	<pre>for (int v = 0; v < adjacencyList.length; v++)(</pre>
21	parentList.add(new ArrayList <integer>());</integer>
22	1
24	//populate with proper parents
25	<pre>for (int v = 0; v < adjacencyList.length; v++)[</pre>
26	for (Integer c: adjacencyList[v])[
20	parentList.get(c).add(v);
29	
30	
-31	
32	
34	//MAY USE LOTS OF MEMORY, AVOID THIS
350	ArrayList <ideal> allIdeals(){</ideal>
36	IdealIterator it = new IdealIterator(this);
37	ArrayListIdeal> ideals = mew ArrayList(Ideal>();
39	<pre>wmake (vrwp) Ideal i = it.next():</pre>
40	if (i == null) break;
41	ideals.add(i);
42)
44	Peturn lucals;
45	1
46*	HashSet <arraylist<integer>> minimalFillings(){</arraylist<integer>
47	ArrayList(Ideals ideals = allIdeals();
48	HashSet(ArrayList(Integer>> min+Illings = new HashSet(ArrayList(Integer>>();
50	for (Ideal ideal : ideals)
51	<pre>minFillings.add(ideal.minimalFilling());</pre>
52	
53	return minhilings;
55	
560	Integer idealCount()[
57	int i = 0;
58	IdealIterator it = new IdealIterator(this);
60	while (it. most) is multiple i += 1:
01	

Adding "tails"

How does adding tails to elements affect a poset's URTs?

- Adding below a minimum element preserve URTs
- Adding a new minimum below multiple posets preserves URTs
- Attaching posets to the nodes of a tree preserves URTs

• Adding above elements does not preserve URTs in general





Slant Sum

- Adding above does not preserve all URTs
- We define p-chain URTs, which are exactly the URTs which are preserved when adding tails above
- p-chain URTs are preserved in slant sums (at a point p)

p-chain URTs in d-complete posets

- 15 irreducible components
- 10 of these can be slant summed onto
- For 5 of these, we have shown that a poset is a URT in that shape if and only if it is a p-chain URT



Current Work

URTs in irreducible poset

- Work so far has concentrated on gluing together posets and d-complete posets in general
- Now we will focus in on individual posets and investigate their URTs and p-chain URTs
- Identify "reading word" which is slide invariant



Sources

Buch, A. S., Samuel, M. J. (2016). K-theory of minuscule varieties. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal), 2016(719), 133-171.

Pechenik, O. A. (2016). K-theoretic Schubert calculus and applications (Doctoral dissertation, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign).

Proctor, R.A (1999). Dynkin Diagram Classification of λ -Minuscule Bruhat Lattices and of d-Complete Posets. Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics 9: 61.

Proctor, R.A (1999). Minuscule elements of Weyl groups, the numbers game, and d-complete posets. Journal of Algebra 213: 272-303

Thomas H., Yong A (2009). A jeu de taquin theory for increasing tableaux, with applications to K-theoretic Schubert calculus. Algebra Number Theory 3, no. 2, 121--148.

Acknowledgement

Work supported by the Rutgers Math Department