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## What is a Knot?

- A knot is an embedding $S^{1} \hookrightarrow S^{3}=\mathbb{R}^{3} \cup \infty$.
- A link is an embedding of a disjoint union $S^{1} \cup \cdots \cup S^{1} \hookrightarrow S^{3}$.


Figure: Trefoil Knot


Figure: Figure-Eight Knot


Figure: Borromean Rings: a 3-component link

The source of the images is the Knot Atlas: http://katlas.org/wiki/

## Special Types of Knots

Torus knots:


Figure: $\mathrm{T}(9,5)$
Pretzel Knots:


Figure: $\mathrm{T}(11,4)$


Figure: $\mathrm{T}(17,3)$

Figure: $4_{1}$



Figure: $5_{2}$


Figure: $6_{2}$

The source of the images is the Knot Atlas: http://katlas.org/wikj/

## Why Knots are Important

- Many things in the real world are knotted - Applications in studying DNA
- Knots are an early case of the embedding problem.
- Knots are very closely related to 3 - and 4-dimensional manifolds.


## Theorem

(Lickorish, Wallace): Every closed
3-dimensional manifold can be described in terms of some link and an integer associated to each component.


Figure: Solomon's Knot Square: a 2-component link

The source of the image is the Knot Atlas: http://katlas.org/wiki/

## Knot Concordance

## Definition

Two knots $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are concordant if they cobound a smooth, properly embedded cylinder in $S^{3} \times[0,1]$.

- Concordance is an equivalence relation.
- We can study concordance invariants for knots.


## Definition

A knot is considered slice if it is concordant to the unknot.

## Knot Concordance



Figure: The Stevedore Knot is slice.


Figure: Another representation of the sequence above

The source of the images is Slice Knots: Knot Theory in the 4th Dimension by Peter Teichner (2010).

## The Chain Complex CFK ${ }^{\infty}$

To each knot $K$ we can associate the complex $C F K^{\infty}(K)$ which contains extensive geometric information about the knot.


Figure: $C F K^{\infty}(K)$ for the right-handed trefoil knot

Source of the figure: A Survey on Heegaard Floer Homology and Concordance by Jennifer Hom (2017).

## The Automorphism $\iota_{K}$

## Definition

$$
\iota_{K}: C F K^{\infty}(K) \rightarrow C F K^{\infty}(K)
$$

- An automorphism on $C F K^{\infty}(K)$ that preserves the structure of the complex.
- Usually close to a reflection over the line $i=j$.
- Contains interesting 4-dimensional data.

Figure: The figure-eight knot

- Can detect the fact that the figure-eight knot isn't slice.

The source of the image is the Knot Atlas: http://katlas.org/wiki/

## What Knots We Considered

$\iota_{k}$ has been computed for

- Torus knots
- Alternating knots
- Some pretzel knots (previous REU) We computed $\iota_{k}$ and the corresponding involutive concordance invariants for
- ( 1,1 )-knots (for which $\iota_{k}$ hadn't been computed yet)


Figure: The pretzel knot $P(-2,3,7)$

The source of the image is: https://wikipedia.org/wiki/(-2,3,7)_pretzel_knot

## Example: $10_{161}$



Figure: The knot $10_{161}$ represented by the 4-tuple (6, 4, $-3,-1$ )

Figure source: Geometry of $(1,1)$-Knots and Knot Floer Homology by Racz $_{\bar{\equiv}}$

## Example: $C F K^{\infty}$ for $10_{161}$



## The Concordance Invariant $V_{0}$

$V_{0}$ can be easily computed in an algorithmic way.

## Definition

We define the chain complex $A_{o}^{-}$by

$$
A_{0}^{-}=C\{(i, j): i, j \leq 0\} .
$$

## Definition

The concordance invariant $V_{0}$ is given by

$$
V_{0}=-\frac{1}{2} \max \left\{r: \exists x \in H_{r}\left(A_{0}^{-}\right) \text {such that } U^{n} x \neq 0 \text { for all } \mathrm{n}\right\}
$$

## Computing $V_{0}$ for $10_{161}$

We find the grading of the topmost element in the tower built from the homology of the complex.


Results: $V_{0}\left(10_{161}\right)=0, V_{0}\left(\overline{10_{161}}\right)=1$

## The Involutive Concordance Invariants $\underline{V}_{0}$ and $\bar{V}_{0}$

$\underline{V}_{0}$ and $\bar{V}_{0}$ are in general more difficult to compute than $V_{0}$, because they first require the computation of $\iota_{K}$.

## Definition

Let $A I_{0}^{-}$be the mapping cone Cone $\left(A_{0}^{-} \xrightarrow{\iota_{K}+\mathrm{Id}} Q A_{0}^{-}\right)$. Then, the involutive concordance invariants $\underline{V}_{0}$ and $\bar{V}_{0}$ are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{0}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\max \left\{r: \exists x \in H_{r}\left(A I_{0}^{-}\right) \text {s.t. } U^{n} x \neq 0 \text { and } U^{n} x \notin \operatorname{Im}(Q) \quad \forall n\right\}-1\right) \\
& \bar{V}_{0}=-\frac{1}{2} \max \left\{r: \exists x \in H_{r}\left(A I_{0}^{-}\right) \text {s.t. } U^{n} x \neq 0 \quad \forall n, \exists m \geq 0 \text { s.t. } U^{m} x \in \operatorname{Im}(Q)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$ $\operatorname{Im}(Q)$ denotes the image of $Q$.

## Computing the Involutive Concordance Invariants for $10_{161}$

The mapping cone Cone $\left(A_{0}^{-} \xrightarrow{\iota_{K}+\mathrm{Id}} Q A_{0}^{-}\right)$is shown below:


## The Homology of the Complex

We find the grading of the topmost element of each of the two towers built from the homology of the mapping cone.

$$
\left[Q U^{2} x_{4}\right]
$$

$$
\left.\left[U^{3} x_{1}+U^{3} x_{5}+U^{3} x_{10}\right] \gg U^{\downarrow}<U^{2} x_{4}+Q U^{2} x_{1}\right]
$$

| $\underline{V}_{0}\left(10_{161}\right)$ | $\bar{V}_{0}\left(10_{161}\right)$ | $\underline{V}_{0}\left(\overline{10_{161}}\right)$ | $\bar{V}_{0}\left(\overline{10_{161}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | -1 | 1 | 1 |

## Results Table

| $\boldsymbol{K}$ | $\boldsymbol{V}_{0}(\boldsymbol{K})$ | $\underline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{0}(\boldsymbol{K})$ | $\overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{0}(\boldsymbol{K})$ | $\boldsymbol{V}_{0}(\overline{\boldsymbol{K}})$ | $\underline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{0}(\overline{\boldsymbol{K}})$ | $\overline{\boldsymbol{V}}_{0}(\overline{\boldsymbol{K}})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10_{128}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| $10_{132}$ | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $10_{136}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $10_{139}$ | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -2 |
| $10_{145}$ | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $11 n_{12}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| $11 n_{19}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| $11 n_{20}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $11 n_{38}$ | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $11 n_{57}$ | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| $11 n_{61}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $11 n_{79}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $11 n_{96}$ | 0 | 0 | -2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

## Further Results

- We verified the diagrams for the 26 11- and 12-crossing (1,1)-knots listed in Geometry of (1,1)-Knots and Knot Floer Homology by Racz.
- We identified three which were incorrect.
- Rasmussen's Knot Polynomials and Knot Homologies contained a correction for $12 n_{749}$.
- We corrected $12 n_{404}$ by narrowing down the possibilities based on some knot invariants.


## A Unique Example

An example of one of the most complicated complexes we found:


Figure: $C F K^{\infty}\left(11 n_{61}\right)$
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