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## Demand for Low Latency

- Webpage download

Amazon: $100 \mathrm{~ms} \sim$ costs $1 \%$ sales, Google: $1 \mathrm{~s} \sim$ page view drops $11 \%$

- Interactive Tasks: $100 \mathrm{~ms}-150 \mathrm{~ms}$
- Online Gaming: 30 ms
- Augmented Reality: 7ms-20ms
- 5G, The Tactile Internet: 1 ms


## Service Rates of Codes

New applications create new performance metrics for codes.

vS.



## Service Capacity Problem Formulation

System Model:
$-k$ files $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k}$ are stored redundantly across $n$ servers.

- The size of each file and the size of data on each server are equal.
- Time to download a file from a server is exponential with rate 1 .
- Requests to download $F_{i}$ arrive at rate $\lambda_{i}$.

THE OBJECTIVE:

1. Determine the set of rates $\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}\right)$ that can be supported by the system implementing some common redundancy schemes.
2. Provide guidance on how to choose a redundancy scheme in order to maximize and/or shape the of region of supported arrival rates.

## $[3,2]$ Simplex Code: $(a, b) \rightarrow(a, b, a+b)$

How can requests for file a be served?


Service Capacity Bound:


Relating to a graph covering problem:


## [2m, 2] Repetition Code

## Service Capacity Region:


[2m, 2] RS Code with no Systematic Nodes

$\alpha$ is primitive in some $F_{q}, q \geqslant 8$

A graph to cover:


Service Capacity Region:


A Systematic $[8,2]$ RS Code
$a+b$
$a+\alpha b$
$a+\alpha^{2} b$
$a+\alpha^{3} b$
$a+\alpha^{4} b \quad a+\alpha^{5} b$


Service capacity region:


How About Both Coding and Replicating


Service capacity region:


How About Both Coding and Replicating


When $\lambda_{b}=0 \ldots$
Service capacity region:


## How About Both Coding and Replicating



When $\lambda_{a}, \lambda_{b} \geqslant 3 \ldots$
Service capacity region:


## A General Theorem

Let there A systematic nodes for file a, B for file b, \& C coded nodes, and assume that any 2 coded nodes or a coded and a systematic node can recover both $a$ and $b$.

Then the service rate region is bounded by
$\lambda_{a}=0, \lambda_{b}=0, \lambda_{a}=\min \left\{(A+C) \mu,\left(A+\frac{B}{2}+\frac{C}{2}\right) \mu\right\}$, and
$L\left(\lambda_{a}\right)= \begin{cases}(B+C) \mu & \text { if } A>C \text { and } 0 \leqslant \lambda_{a} \leqslant(A-C) \mu \\ -\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{a}+\left(\frac{A}{2}+B+\frac{C}{2}\right) \mu & \text { if } A>C \text { and }(A-C) \mu<\lambda_{a} \leqslant A \mu \\ -\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{a}+\left(\frac{A}{2}+B+\frac{C}{2}\right) \mu & \text { if } A \leqslant C \text { and } 0 \leqslant \lambda_{a} \leqslant A \mu \\ -\lambda_{a}+\left(A+B+\frac{C}{2}\right) \mu & \text { if } A \mu<\lambda_{a} \leqslant\left(A+\frac{C}{2}\right) \mu \\ -2 \lambda_{a}+(2 A+B+C) \mu & \text { if } B>C \text { and }\left(A+\frac{C}{2}\right) \mu<\lambda_{a} \leqslant A+C \\ -2 \lambda_{a}+(2 A+B+C) \mu & \text { if } B \leqslant C \text { and }\left(A+\frac{C}{2}\right) \mu<\lambda_{a} \leqslant\left(A+\frac{B}{2}+\frac{C}{2}\right) \mu .\end{cases}$

## Dagstuhl development:

Gven a service region, find $A, B, C$ s.t. $A+B+C$ is minimal.

## Locally Repairable Codes (LRC) with Availability

A code has ( $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{t}$ ) availability if

- there are t disjoint repair groups for each data symbol \&
- each repair group has at most $r$ symbols.

A (2,3)-availability code:
$\{a, b, c\} \longrightarrow\{a, b, c, a+b, b+c, a+c, a+b+c\}$

The minimum distance penalty for an $[n, k]$ code with $(r, t)$ availability is

$$
d_{\text {min }} \leqslant n-k+\left\lceil\frac{\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{k}-1)+1}{\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{r}-1)+1}\right\rceil+2
$$

There are code alphabet dependent bounds.

## A Simplex Code Example

$$
\{a, b, c\} \longrightarrow\{a, b, c, a+b, b+c, a+c, a+b+c\}
$$

The $[7,3,4]$ Simplex code is a (2,3)-availability code:

$$
G_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Repair groups for position 1 are $\{2 \& 3,4 \& 5,6 \& 7\}$ (any two columns of G that sum to 1 )


## The Binary Simplex Code $S_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathfrak{m} \geqslant 2$

- $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$ consist of all distinct nonzero vectors of $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{m}$
- $S_{m}$ is a $\left[2^{m}-1, m, 2^{m-1}\right]$ binary code.
- $S_{m}$ has locality $r=2$ and availability $t=2^{m-1}-1$.

Why do we care about these codes?
Considering rate, alphabet size, minimum distance, locality, availability, the binary simplex codes are in certain sense optimal.


## $[7,3]$ Simplex Code



## $\left[2^{m}-1, m\right]$ Simplex Code

Theorem:
The service capacity region of the $\left[2^{m}-1, m\right]$ Simplex coded system consists of all $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$ s.t. $\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{m} \leqslant 2^{m-1} \mu$.

Proof Sketch for the Achevability:
Note that $\lambda_{i} \leqslant 2^{m-1} \mu$. Each server dedicates the fraction $\lambda_{i} /\left(2^{m-1} \mu\right)$ of its capacity solely to serving requests for file $F_{i}$.
[7,3] simplex code:


## $\left[2^{m}-1, m\right]$ Simplex Code

## Theorem:

The service capacity region of the $\left[2^{m}-1, m\right]$ Simplex coded system consists of all $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$ s.t. $\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{m} \leqslant 2^{m-1} \mu$.

## Proof Sketch for the Converse:

We consider graph $\Gamma_{m}$ with $2^{m}-1$ vertices labeled by all non-zero vectors of $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{m}$. Two vertices are connected iff their labels differ by $1 . \Longrightarrow$ $\Gamma_{\mathrm{m}}$ is a complete bipartate graph. The $2^{\mathrm{m}-1}$ vertices with odd number of 1s cover each edge (recovery group) exactly once.
[7,3] simplex code:


## What about Systematic [n,k] MDS Codes?



What about 1) water filling or 2) sharing?

## Systems Issues



## Systems Issues

Cost:


Coverage and Utilization:

(a) $S(a, b, a+b)$

(b) $S(a, b, a+b, a+2 b)$

(c) $S(a, a, b, b)$
and $\Sigma$ robustness (related to Batch codes).

